

# Lyapunov Analysis

Lyapunov functions and barrier functions

CHEATSHEET: DECEMBER 16, 2022

## 1 INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer. Let  $\mathbb{T} \in \{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$  be a *time domain* and  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a nonempty closed set called the *state space*.

*Definition 1.1.* A dynamical system (on  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $X$ ) is a continuous function  $\phi : \mathbb{T} \times X \rightarrow X$  satisfying that (i) for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\phi(0, x) = x$  and (ii) for all  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $t_2 > t_1$ , and all  $x \in X$ ,  $\phi(t_2, x) = \phi(t_2 - t_1, \phi(t_1, x))$ .

*Definition 1.2.* A dynamical system  $\phi$  on  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is said to be *differentiable* if there is a function  $f_\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $f_\phi(x) = \frac{d}{dt^+} \phi(t, x)|_{t=0} \doteq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi(t, x) - x}{t}$ .

## 2 LYAPUNOV FUNCTIONS

Let  $\phi$  be a dynamical system. In this section, we assume that  $0 \in X$  and for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\phi(t, 0) = 0$ . In other words,  $0$  is a *fixed point* for  $\phi$ .

*Definition 2.1.*  $0$  is a *stable fixed point* for  $\phi$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\|x\| \leq \delta$ , and all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\|\phi(t, x)\| \leq \epsilon$ .

*Definition 2.2.*  $0$  is an *asymptotically stable fixed point* for  $\phi$  if (i) it is a stable fixed point, and (ii) for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi(t, x)\| = 0$ .

Let us now introduce the concept of Lyapunov function.

*Definition 2.3.* A continuous function  $V : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is a *candidate Lyapunov function* if (i)  $V(0) = 0$ , and for all  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $V(x) > 0$ , and (ii)  $V$  is radially unbounded, meaning that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \min\{V(x) : x \in X, \|x\| \geq r\} = \infty$ .

*Definition 2.4.* A candidate Lyapunov function  $V : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is a *Lyapunov function* for  $\phi$  if for every  $t \in \mathbb{T}_{>0}$  and every  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $V(\phi(t, x)) < V(x)$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** *If  $\phi$  has a Lyapunov function, then  $0$  is asymptotically stable for  $\phi$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $V$  be a Lyapunov function for  $\phi$ . First, we show that  $0$  is stable. Therefore, fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $c > 0$  be such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $V(x) \leq c$  implies that  $\|x\| \leq \epsilon$  (such  $c$  always exists since  $V$  is continuous, radially unbounded and nonzero on  $X \setminus \{0\}$ ). Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\|x\| \leq \delta$  implies that  $V(x) \leq c$  (such  $\delta$  always exists since  $V$  is continuous and  $V(0) = 0$ ). Now, for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\|x\| \leq \delta$ , it holds that for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $V(\phi(t, x)) \leq V(x) \leq c$ , so that  $\|\phi(t, x)\| \leq \epsilon$ . Thus  $0$  is stable.

Now, we show that  $0$  is attractive. Therefore, let  $x \in X$ . For a proof by contradiction, assume that  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi(t, x)\| > 0$ . Since  $V$  is radially unbounded and since for all  $t \in \mathbb{T}$ ,  $V(\phi(t, x)) \leq V(x)$ , it holds that  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi(t, x)\| < \infty$ . Hence, there is  $y \in X \setminus \{0\}$  and a diverging, increasing sequence  $(t_i)_{i=0}^\infty$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t_i, x) = y$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t_{i+1} > t_i + 1$ . Since  $V$  and  $\phi$  are continuous and  $V$  is a Lyapunov function,  $V(\phi(1, y)) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} V(\phi(t_i + 1, x)) \geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} V(\phi(t_{i+1}, x)) = V(y)$ . Hence  $V(\phi(1, y)) \geq V(y)$ , a contradiction with  $y \neq 0$ , concluding the proof.  $\square$

When  $V$  and  $\phi$  are differentiable, a sufficient condition for  $V$  to be a Lyapunov function is given by looking at the derivatives of  $V$  and  $\phi$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** *Let  $V$  be a differentiable candidate Lyapunov function and  $\phi$  a differentiable dynamical system. Assume that for all  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $V'(x)f_\phi(x) < 0$ . Then,  $V$  is a Lyapunov function for  $\phi$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ . For a proof by contradiction, assume there is  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $V(\phi(t, x)) \geq V(x)$ . Then, since  $V \circ \phi$  is differentiable, by the mean value theorem, there is  $s \in (0, t)$  such that  $V'(\phi(s, x))f_\phi(\phi(s, x)) \geq 0$ . Hence, by hypothesis,  $\phi(s, x) = 0$ , so that  $V(\phi(s, x)) = 0$ . By the same argument, it then follows that  $V(\phi(t, x)) = 0$ , so that  $V(x) = 0$ . This is a contradiction with  $x \neq 0$ .  $\square$

### 3 BARRIER FUNCTIONS

Let  $\phi$  be a differentiable dynamical system. Let  $I \subseteq X$  be nonempty closed set called the *initial set*, and  $S \subseteq X$  be nonempty closed set called the *safe set*, with  $I \subseteq S$ .

**Definition 3.1.**  $S$  is *invariant* for  $(\phi, I)$  if for every  $x \in I$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $\phi(t, x) \in S$ .

Let us now introduce the concept of barrier function.

**Definition 3.2.** A differentiable function  $B : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *candidate barrier function* for  $(I, S)$  if (i) for all  $x \in I$ ,  $B(x) \leq 0$ , and (ii) for all  $x \in X \setminus S$ ,  $B(x) > 0$ .

**Definition 3.3.** A candidate barrier function  $B : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *barrier function* for  $(\phi, I, S)$  if for every  $x \in X$  such that  $B(x) = 0$ , it holds that  $B'(x)f_\phi(x) < 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.4.** *If there is a barrier function for  $(\phi, I, S)$ , then  $S$  is invariant for  $(\phi, I)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $B$  be a barrier function for  $(\phi, I, S)$ . Let  $x \in I$ . For a proof by contradiction, assume there is  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\phi(t, x) \notin S$ . Then,  $B(\phi(t, x)) > 0$ . Since  $B(x) \leq 0$ , this implies that there is  $s \in [0, t)$  such that  $B(\phi(s, x)) = 0$  and for all  $u \in [s, t]$ ,  $B(\phi(u, x)) \geq 0$ . It follows that  $B'(\phi(s, x))f_\phi(\phi(s, x)) \geq 0$ , a contradiction with the hypothesis on  $B$ , concluding the proof.  $\square$